

# Public Consultation - CFP Regulation Evaluation

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

### About this consultation

The purpose of this public consultation is to gather your input and views about the functioning of the common fisheries policy (CFP) Regulation ([Regulation \(EU\) No 1380/2013](#)) in order to support the evaluation of this Regulation.

This evaluation will consider how the existing CFP Regulation is performing to date and assess how the legal framework allows its current objectives to be met and address emerging challenges. It will cover all action within the EU and the external action of the CFP Regulation.

The evaluation will take stock of the CFP Regulation's impact in the conservation of marine biological resources and the management of fisheries and fleets exploiting such resources, the supply chain, consumers and public authorities in all EU Member States over the past 10 years of implementation (2014-2024), building on earlier consultations and input provided as preparation for the Commission Communication on the common fisheries policy today and tomorrow ([COM/2023/103](#))

The questions are organised around four of the five standard evaluation criteria used by the European Commission in order to assess the extent to which the CFP Regulation:

- is effective in fulfilling expectations and meeting its objectives;
- is efficient in terms of cost-effectiveness and proportionality of actual costs to benefits;
- is relevant to current and emerging needs;
- is coherent (internally and externally with other EU interventions or international agreements)

These criteria are [a set of principles](#) used by the European Commission to assess the quality and impact of policies and regulations to ensure that EU policies are well-designed, and that they deliver real benefits to citizens and businesses across the EU.

*Please comment on any or all topics (you can skip questions if you have nothing to say) and provide any other information you think relevant.*

At the end of the survey, you can upload a document or position paper (maximum size 3 MB) or link if your contribution is in html format. You can also provide additional comments or information.

## About you

---

\* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation

- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

\* First name

Ana

\* Surname

Rodriguez

\* Email (this won't be published)

arodriguez@marineboard.eu

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

European Marine Board

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

571994418695-46

\* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

*This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.*

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
- Libya
- Saint Martin

- Åland Islands
- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Dominica
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar/Burma
- Namibia
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden

- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Türkiye
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States

- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena  
Ascension and  
Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and  
Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- United States  
Minor Outlying  
Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and  
Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

**Anonymous**

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

**Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

## Effectiveness of the CFP Regulation

---

### 1. What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had to?

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
The contribution to the environmental sustainability and conservation of marine resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The contribution to the economic sustainability of people active in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors and consumers	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contributing to the social conditions (fair standard of living; training) of people active in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors and of consumers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

#### 1a. What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on the contribution to the environmental sustainability and conservation of marine resources

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very Negative	No opinion /no answer
Helping to keep fish stocks at healthy levels or bring them back to those levels	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contributing to healthy marine ecosystems (protecting young fish, the seabed, sensitive species such as marine mammals and seabirds and Natura 2000 sites and other marine protected areas and)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contributing to international ocean governance in support of environmental sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Supporting animal health and welfare	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

The CFP has really helped improved the fish stocks in the North Sea, so there it has had a positive effect. But the legislative procedure under Article 11 of the CFP often hinders or considerably delays the implement of any conservation measure (e.g. adopting the conservation measures in the Dogger Bank took more than 10 years!) - if any end up being implemented at all. Therefore the CFP rather has had a negative impact on contributing to healthy marine ecosystems. The principle that Member States have to agree on Joint Recommendations is good. The problem is that if for instance Denmark is fishing in French waters, Denmark might not be as interested as France in conserving a sensitive habitat, which hinders the implementation of any conservation measure, even if France would be willing to do so. And of course France will not ban its fleet from fishing in those areas, as long as other EU countries are still allowed to do so. Which is what happens in the end and was also highlighted in the European Court of Auditors report: Marine environment: EU protection is wide but not deep.

1b. What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on the contribution to the economic sustainability of people active in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors (owners, workers, employers, operators) and consumers

specifically on contributing to profitable activities:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
in the fisheries catching sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the fisheries processing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>



In the fisheries marketing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquaculture sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on supporting modernisation and innovation:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
in the fisheries catching sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
in the fisheries processing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
In the fisheries marketing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquaculture sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on the contribution to:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
Ensuring availability of food supplies at reasonable prices for consumers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Supplying aquatic food to processors and consumers with adequate level of information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Improving stability of the fishery and aquaculture market	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Ensuring fair competition conditions, between stakeholders of the fishery and aquaculture sector on the EU market	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

1c. What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on contributing to the social conditions of people active in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors

Specifically, on ensuring a fair standard of living for the people active in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors (owners, workers, employers, employees, operators), including through a fair and stable income and decent working conditions

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
in the fisheries catching sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquatic food processing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
In the aquatic food marketing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquaculture sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

What impact do you think the CFP Regulation has had on the following social aspects?

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion /No answer
Ensuring a fair allocation of fishing opportunities to fishers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Supporting small-scale fishers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Supporting small-scale aquaculture farmers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Supporting coastal communities dependent on fishing and aquaculture	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Taking into account the interests of consumers by ensuring the availability of food supplies at reasonable prices, enabling informed choices and promoting responsible consumption	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

## Efficiency of the CFP Regulation

**A reminder:**

**The CFP regulation’s objectives**

“Long-term environmental sustainability” includes the sustainable exploitation of marine biological resources (through the use of maximum sustainable yield) as well as preserving marine habitats and sensitive species.

“Economic benefits” includes increased productivity, stable markets, availability of food supplies, reducing the Union market's dependence on food imports, reasonable prices for consumers, economic development in coastal areas and overall smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

“Social and employment benefits” includes a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector including small-scale fisheries, improvement of safety and working conditions for fishing operators, direct and indirect job creation, as well as preservation of traditional fishing activities in dependent coastal communities.

2. How you would rate the contribution of the following elements of the CFP Regulation to achieving its objectives?

**Contribution of Maximum sustainable yield on:**

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Contribution of the landing obligation on:**

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Contribution of Fleet capacity limits on:**

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **the multiannual plans** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **regional cooperation on conservation measures via joint recommendations by the Member States** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **adopting conservation measures necessary for compliance with obligations under EU environmental legislation** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **adopting Commission (conservation) measures in case of a serious threat to marine biological resources** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **Member State emergency measures** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **professional organisations, incl. producer organisations** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **allocation of fishing opportunities** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **Sustainable Fisheries Partnership Agreements** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of the **international and regional Fisheries management agreements** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of the **management of stocks shared with third countries** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of the **Commission strategic guidelines and Member States' multi-annual national strategic plans on aquaculture** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of the **open method of coordination of Member States on aquaculture** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of the **marketing standards** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Contribution of **consumer information/ labelling rules** on:

	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion/No answer
Environmental objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

## Governance

---

**A reminder: Governance**

The CFP regulation states that the management of fisheries should be guided by principles of good governance, including principles such as:

- Decision-making based on best available scientific advice;
- Broad stakeholder involvement, in particular advisory councils\*, in all stages of the decision-making process;
- Taking into account regional specificities through a regional approach;
- Transparency and coherence between the internal and external dimension of the CFP.
- The clear definition of responsibilities at the Union, regional, national and local levels;

\*Advisory Councils are stakeholder-led organisations that provide the Commission and EU countries with recommendations on fisheries management matters.

3. How effective is the governance system of the CFP Regulation towards reaching environmental, social or economic sustainability?

	Very effective	somewhat effective	Neutral	Somewhat ineffective	Not effective at all	No opinion /No answer
Environmental sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
social sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

4. How effective is the CFP Regulation's governance system in achieving the following:

	Very effective	somewhat effective	Neutral	Somewhat ineffective	Not effective at all	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
In collecting scientific advice	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In using scientific advice to inform its policy decisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In involving stakeholders at all stages of the policy-making process	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In empowering stakeholders through professional organisations, in particular producer organisations, to implement the CFP	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
In managing EU fish stocks in a sustainable way	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In managing shared fish stocks in a sustainable way	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In managing fish stocks at international level in a sustainable way contributing to international ocean governance	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In managing aquaculture in a sustainable way	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
In contributing to healthy marine ecosystems (protecting young fish, the seabed, Natura 2000 sites and other marine protected areas, sensitive species such as marine mammals and seabirds)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In providing sufficient flexibility to adapt to regional differences: in the European sea basins (Baltic Sea, North Sea, North Western Waters, South Western Waters, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In providing sufficient flexibility to adapt to regional differences: in the outermost regions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
In ensuring transparency in decision making?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

5. To what extent has legal enforcement action at EU level (EU Pilots and infringements) contributed to ensuring compliance with the CFP Regulation?

- Very effective
- Effective
- Neutral
- not very effective
- ineffective
- No opinion/ unfamiliar with the topic

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

**Effectiveness and Efficiency**

---

6. How would you rate the following elements that could challenge the successfulness of the CFP Regulation (i.e. achieving objectives)?

	Very important	Important	Neutral	Unimportant	Not important at all	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Complexity of CFP legal requirements	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inconsistent or lack of control and enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Lack of flexibility of the legal framework at EU level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Lack of flexibility of the legal framework at regional sea-basin level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Lack of flexibility of the legal framework at national level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Difficulties in implementing new technology and innovation to meet CFP objectives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Deviation from scientific advice when adopting fisheries conservation measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Challenges in implementing regionalisation for fisheries measures to contribute to environmental obligations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Exemptions to the landing obligation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Lack of attractiveness of the sector: for workers	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of attractiveness of the sector for fishers and aquaculture producers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Lack of attractiveness of the sector: for investors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Unfair competition	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regional disparities within the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Contribution to food security	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of predictability for: Availability of fishing opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of predictability for: Climate change and weather conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of predictability for: Market conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

7a. To what extent do you consider the compliance costs generated by the CFP Regulation, including administrative burden, as:

Compliance cost (all direct cost):	High	Somewhat high	Acceptable	Somewhat low	Low	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
in the catching sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the processing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquaculture sect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
for national public authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
For EU public authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Administrative burden (reporting, registration, labelling etc.)

Administrative burden (reporting obligations, registration, labelling etc.)	High	Somewhat high	Acceptable	Somewhat low	Low	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
in the catching sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the processing sector	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
in the aquaculture sect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
for national public authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
For EU public authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please justify your answer, in particular if you considered these costs high (or unreasonably) by specifying them.

7b. According to your view, which areas of the CFP Regulation have potential for simplification and cost reduction?

- Measures for the conservation and sustainable exploitation of marine biological resources
- Common market organisation
- Aquaculture
- Control and enforcement

- Governance
- External policy
- No such area

Could you please briefly elaborate on your selection?

## Relevance of the CFP Regulation

---

9. To what extent do you agree that the objectives of the CFP Regulation have remained relevant over the past 10 years / implementation period?

	Fully relevant	Somewhat relevant	Neutral	Somewhat irrelevant	Fully irrelevant	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Fostering "Long-term environmental sustainability of fishing and aquaculture activities"	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ensuring "Economic benefits"	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ensuring "Social and employment benefits"	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contributing to the availability of food supplies	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pursue the objectives at international level	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

Are there specific needs missing in your opinion, that are not sufficiently addressed in the current CFP Regulation and its objectives, if so, which?

10. To what extent do you consider that the following challenges, raised in different stakeholder fora or recommendations, are sufficiently addressed today by the CFP Regulation

	Sufficiently addressed	Somewhat addressed	Neutral	Somewhat not addressed	Not addressed at all	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Brexit and its effect on the implementation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Governance of commonly shared or managed stocks	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Impact of climate change / mitigation and adaptation (e.g. stock migration, natural disasters, invasive species, acidification, heatwaves)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Impact of biodiversity loss including loss of ecosystem services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pollution, including eutrophication of waters leading to oxygen-deprived marine areas ('dead zones')	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Unstable geopolitical context	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
International competition (eg. economic, market, technological, access to resources)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inflation and rising operational costs including energy costs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Investment capacity, including for the energy transition and modernisation of vessels and equipment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Unstable markets and price volatility	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Digital transition	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Behavioural changes and shift in consumption patterns	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Labour shortage	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Recreational fisheries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Competition for space	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Management of inland waters	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Challenges of small-scale coastal fishing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Prevention of food loss and food waste	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Animal welfare	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking

11. To what extent are the objectives of the current CFP Regulation coherent with the following policies?



A. In relation to other EU fisheries law:

	Very coherent	Coherent	Neutral	Rather incoherent	Incoherent	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Control and monitoring	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fight against Illegal unreported and unregulated fishing	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Rules on the external fleet	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Scientific data collection	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Common market organisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

B. In relation to other EU policies and laws:

	Very coherent	Coherent	Neutral	Rather incoherent	Incoherent	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Habitats and Birds Directives	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nature restoration law	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Water Framework Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Marine Strategy Framework Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Marine and inland waters	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Maritime spatial planning	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EU biodiversity strategy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Food loss and waste prevention	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Food safety and Health	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Common Agricultural Policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Working conditions and labour standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

C. In relation to international action:

	Very coherent	Coherent	Neutral	Rather incoherent	Incoherent	No opinion / unfamiliar with topic
Synergy with development policy and recognition of developing countries' needs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Sustainable and fair trade	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Protection of biodiversity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Promoting international ocean governance	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
On climate change	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
UN Agreement on Marine Biodiversity of Areas beyond national jurisdiction	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
UN Sustainable Development Goals	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
FAO Guidelines for Sustainable Aquaculture	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please add any specific points you want to raise clarifying your above ranking, or add any missing policies or themes you want to raise.

### Any further comments?

---

12. Would you like to be contacted for a more in-depth interview if certain elements are not covered by this consultation – if so, please elaborate on which topic(s) and why.

If you are open for a possible interview with DG MARE please leave you email address in the textbox below:

Have you any further comments on these questions? Or was there a topic regarding the CFP not yet covered?

Please upload your file(s) you want to share

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this questionnaire. Your input is much appreciated.

### Contact

MARE-D3@ec.europa.eu

